5a

1 2

Complainant,

EPA ID. No. WAD009036906

Respondent.

٧.

Pacific Wood Treating Corporation

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101

11 IN THE MATTER OF:

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

Environmental Protection Agency 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

COMPLAINT, COMPLIANCE ORDER, Page 1 of 9

RCRA Docket: 1085-09-26-3008P

COMPLAINT, COMPLIANCE ORDER AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Contained herein is an administrative action instituted pursuant to §3008 of the the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended [42 U.S.C. §6928], (hereinafter "RCRA" or "the Act"). Complainant is Region 10 of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (hereinafter "EPA" or "Complainant"). Respondent is Pacific Wood Treating Corporation of Ridgefield, Washington (hereinafter "PWT" or "Respondent").



Form CBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ

B. On August 2, 1983, and pursuant to §3006 of the Act [42 U.S.C. §6926], EPA granted the state of Washington interim authorization (Phases I, IIA and IIB), allowing the state to operate its hazardous waste program covered by these phases in lieu of the federal program. The effect of EPA's approval is that the Washington hazardous waste program and its requirements thereunder became part of the requirements of Subtitle C of RCRA in Washington.

C. Pursuant to §3008 of the Act [42 U.S.C. §6928], EPA is authorized to

C. Pursuant to §3008 of the Act [42 U.S.C. §6928], EPA is authorized to take enforcement action in authorized states regarding activities which constitute violations of any requirements of Subtitle C of the Act.

Noncompliance with requirements imposed by the approved Washington program constitutes a violation of Washington's authorized program and also constitutes a violation of the corresponding federal requirements of RCRA Subtitle C [42 U.S.C. Chapter 82, Subchapter III].

- D. EPA has notified the Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) of this action as specified at \$3008(a)(2) of the Act [42 U.S.C. §6928(a)(2)].
- E. Complainant has reason to believe and alleges that Respondent has violated regulations promulgated pursuant to Subtitle C, Section 3004 of the Act [42 U.S.C. §6924], and regulations found in Chapter 173-303 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC), as set forth below.

#### II. DETERMINATIONS

- 1. Respondent owns the Ridgefield Brick and Tile land disposal site located at 3510 N.W. 289th Street, Ridgefield, Washington, hereinafter referred to as the PWT/RBT facility. This facility consists of a hazardous waste landfill, approximately 0.75 acre in size.
- 2. Respondent submitted to EPA Part A of a hazardous waste permit application for the PWT/RBT facility on May 25, 1983, which indicated that hazardous waste disposed in the landfill consists of ash (from the PWT wood-waste boiler plant) contaminated with the regulated wastes DOO4 (arsenic) and KOO1 (bottom sediment sludge from the treatment of wastewaters from wood-preserving processes that use creosote and/or pentachlorophenol).
- 3. Waste was first received at the PWT/RBT facility in 1979 and was last received on January 25, 1983.
- 4. The PWT/RBT facility is subject to the provisions of RCRA and to applicable regulations promulgated thereunder, and to applicable Washington State Dangerous Waste Regulations (Chapter 173-303 WAC). Pursuant to 40 CFR §265.1(b), interim status standards apply to facilities which were in existence on November 19, 1980. WAC 173-303-400(3)(a) incorporates by reference the interim status standards of 40 CFR Part 265, Subparts F through R.
  - 5. Closure of the PWT/RBT facility pursuant to 40 CFR Part 265 Subpart G has not been completed.
  - 6. On April 3, 1985, WDCE formally requested that Respondent submit Part B of a hazardous waste permit application. On April 9, 1985, EPA requested submittal of such application, which is due on October 11, 1985.

COMPLAINT, COMPLIANCE ORDER, Page 3 of 9

7. Representatives of EPA inspected the PWT/RBT facility on June 12, 1984, and April 30, 1985. Pursuant to those inspections and to documents provided to EPA by PWT and WDOE, Respondent is found to be in violation of the following:
A. 40 CFR §265.145, which requires that an owner or operator of

- A. 40 CFR §265.145, which requires that an owner or operator of each disposal facility establish financial assurance for post-closure care of the facility. Respondent stated during the April 30, 1985, inspection that such financial assurance has not been obtained, and evidence of such financial assurance has not been submitted by Respondent.
- B. 40 CFR §265.91, which requires that ground-water monitoring wells be installed such that their number, locations, and depths ensure that they immediately detect hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents that migrate from the waste management area to the uppermost aquifer. PWT has failed to install wells capable of ensuring such detection. The monitoring well system established by Respondent consists of four domestic wells located about 1000 feet from the landfill. Information concerning the construction of the wells and the hydrogeology of the site is insufficient to determine water table gradient or flow direction.
- that must be measured as part of a facility's ground-water monitoring program. A report of analysis results for one year of quarterly sampling, submitted by Sweet-Edwards and Associates, Inc. to Respondent, dated January 9, 1985, indicates that the concentrations of only a portion of these parameters have been determined. Specifically, the concentrations of the following

Methoxychlor, Toxaphene, and 2,4-D (EPA interim primary drinking water parameters, required at 40 CFR §265.92(b)(1)); chloride, iron, manganese, phenols, sodium, and sulfate (ground-water quality parameters, required at 40 CFR §265.92(b)(2)); and total organic carbon and total organic halogen (ground-water contamination parameters, required at 40 CFR §265.92(b)(3)).

- D. 40 CFR \$265.93(a), which requires that an outline of a ground-water quality assessment program be prepared and that such program be capable of determining (1) whether hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents have entered the ground water; (2) the rate and extent of migration of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents in the ground water; and (3) the concentrations of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents in the ground water. Respondent has failed to prepare an outline of a ground-water quality assessment program which is capable of meeting these requirements (nor does Respondent's current ground-water monitoring program meet such requirements).
  - E. 40 CFR §265.112, for failure to submit a closure plan +hat is adequate to meet the closure and post-closure requirements for landfills, described at 40 CFR Part 265 Subpart G and 40 CFR §265.310.
  - F. 40 CFR §265.118(a)(1), for failure to submit a post-closure plan which is designed to comply with the ground-water monitoring requirements of 40 CFR Part 265 Subpart F.

 III. CIVIL PENALTY

For violation of WAC 173-303-400(3)(a); and 40 CFR §§265.145, 265.91, 265.92(b), 265.93(a), 265.93(b), 265.112, and 265.118(a)(1), Complainant proposes a civil penalty of TWENTY-TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$22,500.00), computed in accordance with EPA guidelines for penalties assessed under the Act.

#### IV. COMPLIANCE ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Determinations and pursuant to §3008 of the Act, it is hereby ordered that Respondent take the following corrective actions within the time periods specified.

- 1. Respondent shall, within 30 (thirty) days of receipt of this Order, submit written verification and documentation that Pespondent has met the financial requirements described at 40 CFR Subpart H.
- 2. Respondent shall, within 30 (thirty) days of receipt of this Order, submit a written plan and schedule (hereinafter "proposal") idelineating steps that will be taken to bring Respondent into compliance with the applicable ground-water monitoring requirements of 40 CFR Subpart F. Respondent shall implement such proposal within 90 days of this Order.
  - 3. Respondent shall, within 30 (thirty) days of receipt of this Order, submit a closure plan and a post-closure plan which comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 265 Subpart G.

COMPLAINT, COMPLIANCE ORDER, Page 6 of 9

## V. OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

A copy of the "Consolidated Rules of Practices" governing the penalty proceedings is attached. Under those rules, Respondent has the right to request a hearing to: (a) contest any material fact set forth in the Complaint; (b) contest the appropriateness of the proposed penalty; or (c) content that Respondent is entitled to judgement as a matter of law.

To avoid being found in default, having the proposed civil penalty assessed, and having the Compliance Order become final without further proceedings, Respondent must file a written response to the Complainant, which must include a request for a hearing, if desired. Such response (if any) must be addressed to the Region 10 Hearing Clerk, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, M/S 613, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101-3188 and sent within thirty (30) days of Respondent's receipt of this Complaint and Compliance Order. Respondent's response should clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in the Determinations section of the Complaint and Compliance Order. The response should contain: (1) a definite statement of the facts which constitute the grounds for defense, and (2) a concise statement of the facts Respondent intends to place at issue in the hearing if requested.

If Respondent fails to file a written answer within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Complaint and Compliance Order, such failure will constitute an admission of all the facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of Respondent's right to a hearing. A Final Order upon default will thereafter be issued by the Regional Administrator and filed with the Region 10 Hearing Clerk.

COMPLAINT, COMPLIANCE ORDER, Page 7 of 9

Any hearing requested by Respondent will likely be held at the Region 10 office of EPA in Seattle. Hearing held will be conducted in accordance with the enclosed "Consolidated Rules of Practice" [40 CFR Part 22 (45 FR 24363)].

#### VI. INFORMAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Whether or not Respondent requests a hearing, Complainant encourages settlement of this proceeding consistent with the provisions of the Act. At an informal conference with representatives of the Complainant, Respondent may comment on the charges and provide whatever additional information Respondent believes is relevant to the disposition of this matter, including any actions Respondent has taken to correct the violations and any other special circumstances Respondent cares to raise. Respondent's request for an informal conference and other questions that Respondent may have regarding this Complaint and Compliance Order should be directed, in writing, to Kenneth D. Feigner, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, M/S 533, 1200 Sixtr Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101-3188, or by telephone to Mr. Feigner at (206) 442-2782.

Please note that a request for an informal settlement conference does not extend the thirty (30) day period during which a written answer and request for hearing must be submitted. The informal settlement conference procedure may be pursued simultaneously with the adjudicatory hearing procedure. Any settlement which may be reached as a result of such conference will be embodied in a written Agreed Final Order to be issued by the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 10, and signed by Respondent. Respondent's signing of such Agreed Final Order would constitute a waiver of Respondent's right to request a hearing on any matter stipulated therein.

COMPLAINT, COMPLIANCE ORDER, Page 8 of 9

### VII. NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ADDITIONAL CIVIL PENALTIES

Pursuant to the terms of \$3008(c) of the Act, a violator failing to take corrective action within the time specified in a Final Order is liable for a civil penalty of up to TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$25,000.00) for each day of continued noncompliance.

## VIII. RESOLUTION OF THIS PROCEEDING WITHOUT HEARING OR CONFERENCE

Instead of filing an answer requesting a hearing or requesting an informal settlement conference, Respondent may choose to pay the proposed penalty and to comply with the terms of this Complaint and Compliance Order. In that case, payment should be made by sending to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, P.O. Box 360903M, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania 15251, a cashier's check or certified check payable to "Treasurer, United States of America" in the amount specified in the "Proposed Civil Penalty" section of this Complaint and Compliance Order.

DATED this 30 th day of September 1985.

Charles E. Findley, Director

Hazardous Waste Division